

Teaching with Interactive Whiteboards

Interactive whiteboards have become popular over the last few years, and it appears that their use will continue to grow exponentially. Indeed, books like *The Interactive Whiteboard Revolution* (Becker & Lee, 2009) attest to the depth and breadth of change that this tool can promote in classroom practice.

For those who may still be unfamiliar with the technology, an interactive whiteboard is a large

display that connects to a computer and a projector. The projector projects the computer's desktop onto the board's surface, where users control the computer with a pen, finger, or other device. The board is typically mounted to a wall or floor stand. Various accessories, such as student response systems, enable interactivity.

Although many teachers have enthusiastically adopted interactive whiteboards, little research is available on their effect on student achievement. However, in a study

that involved 85 teachers and 170 classrooms, the teachers used interactive whiteboards to teach a set of lessons, which they then taught to a different group of students without using the technology (see Marzano & Haystead, 2009).

What the Research Found

The study results indicated that, in general, using interactive whiteboards was associated with a 16 percentile point gain in student achievement. This means that we can expect a student at the 50th percentile in a classroom without the technology to increase to the 66th percentile in a classroom using whiteboards.

In addition, three features inherent in interactive whiteboards have a statistically significant relationship with student achievement. The first

is the learner-response device—handheld voting devices that students use to enter their responses to questions. The percentage of students providing the correct answer is then immediately displayed on the board in a bar graph or pie chart. Using voting devices was associated with a 26 percentile point gain in student achievement.

In general, using interactive whiteboards was associated with a 16 percentile point gain in student achievement.

A second feature is the use of graphics and other visuals to represent information. These include downloaded pictures and video clips from the Internet, sites such as Google Earth, and graphs and charts. Use of these aids was also associated with a 26 percentile point gain in student achievement.

A third feature is the interactive whiteboard *reinforcer*—applications that teachers can use to signal that an answer is correct or to present information in an unusual context. These applications include dragging and dropping correct answers into specific locations, acknowledging correct answers with virtual applause, and uncovering information hidden under objects. These practices were associated with a 31 percentile point gain in student achievement.

What We Saw in the Classroom

One of the more interesting findings from the study was that in 23 percent of the cases,



teachers had better results *without* the interactive whiteboards. To determine why this occurred, we examined videotapes of teachers using the boards. These disclosed some potential pitfalls in using the technology:

- *Using the voting devices but doing little with the findings.* In many classrooms, teachers simply noted how many students obtained the correct answer instead of probing into why one answer was more appropriate than another.

- *Not organizing or pacing the content well.* In these cases, the teachers incorporated video segments from the Internet or images intended to represent important information in their digital flipcharts. However, they ran through the flipcharts so quickly that students, although impressed with the graphics, did not have time to analyze and interact with one another about the content.

Interactive whiteboards have great potential as a tool to enhance pedagogical practices.

- *Using too many visuals.* Digital flipchart pages were awash with visual stimuli; it was hard to identify the important content.

- *Paying too much attention to reinforcing features.* For example, when teachers who had worse results with the technology used the virtual applause feature to signal a correct answer, the emphasis seemed to be on eliciting the applause rather than on clarifying the content.

Getting the Most Out of the Technology

This study, as well as what we know about good teaching in general, suggests

how teachers might use interactive whiteboards more effectively. I recommend the following:

- Teachers should think through how they intend to organize information. They should group information into small, meaningful segments *before* they start developing the digital flipcharts. Once they've organized the content, then they can design the flipcharts to complement the organization. To ensure that they don't run through the flipcharts too quickly, teachers can insert flipcharts that remind them to stop the presentation so students can process and analyze the new information.

- Digital flipcharts should contain



Working with men and women passionate about developing professionally through education.

PROFESSIONAL GROWTH
Passion. Flexibility. Balance. Solutions.



BACHELOR'S | Master's | Doctorate
Business | Counseling | Criminal Justice
Education | Psychology

Learn more – contact Argosy University.

1.877.851.9916

WWW.GOTOARGOSY.COM

Argosy University Administration

225 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1300 • Chicago, IL 60601

Financial Aid is available to those who qualify
Degree programs, delivery options, and start dates vary by campus.

Argosy University is accredited by the Higher Learning Commission and a member of the North Central Association
(30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2400, Chicago, IL 60602, 1.800.621.7440, www.ncahlc.org).

Argosy University, Washington, D.C. is certified by SCHEV to operate in Virginia.

Argosy University, Nashville is authorized for operation by the Tennessee Higher Education Commission.

Digital flipcharts should contain visuals, but those visuals should clearly focus on important information.

visuals, but those visuals should clearly focus on the important information. Also, no single flipchart should contain too many visuals or too much written information.

■ After asking a question and getting student responses using voting devices, the teacher should typically discuss the correct answer along with the incorrect answers, making sure to elicit opinions from as many students as possible.

■ When using reinforcing features like virtual applause, teachers should make sure that students focus on *why* an

answer is correct or incorrect. Although these features can produce high engagement and certainly enliven the atmosphere in a classroom, they can also be distracting if used without a clear focus on essential content.

Interactive whiteboards have great potential as a tool to enhance pedagogical practices in the classroom and ultimately improve student achievement. However, simply assuming that using this or any other technological tool can automatically enhance student achievement would be a mistake. As is the case

with all powerful tools, teachers must use interactive whiteboards thoughtfully, in accordance with what we know about good classroom practice. **EL**

References

- Becker, C., & Lee, M. (2009). *The interactive whiteboard revolution: Teaching with IWBs*. Victoria, Australia: ACER Press.
- Marzano, R. J., & Haystead, M. (2009). *Final report on the evaluation of the Promethean technology*. Englewood, CO: Marzano Research Laboratory.

Robert J. Marzano is Cofounder and CEO of Marzano Research Laboratory in Denver, Colorado. He is the author of *The Art and Science of Teaching* (ASCD, 2007) and coauthor, with Mark W. Haystead, of *Making Standards Useful in the Classroom* (ASCD, 2008). To contact Marzano or participate in a study regarding a specific instructional strategy, visit www.marzanoresearch.com.

GLOBAL ONLINE

Enhance your
Career with
Cal U's 100%
Online Programs



- ADMINISTRATIVE PROGRAM FOR PRINCIPALS
- SUPERINTENDENT LETTER OF ELIGIBILITY PROGRAM

California University of Pennsylvania, with more than 150 years of experience in higher education, offers two dynamic, 100% online programs that can enhance your career in education.

Cal U's Administrative Program for Principals and Superintendent Letter of Eligibility programs are ideal for working professionals who want to make a difference in education. They are both part-time, completely online programs that provide a personalized approach in meeting your needs whether you are seeking a principal's certificate, a master's degree in education, the superintendent letter, or simply a way to enhance your teaching and leadership skills. All courses are taught by faculty with experience in teaching and school administration.

To find out more about these two programs, or any of Cal U's global online programs, contact us at 866-595-6348, e-mail us at calugo@cup.edu, or visit www.cup.edu/go.

California University of Pennsylvania is accredited by the Commission on Higher Education of the Middle States Association of Colleges and Schools and the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education. Pennsylvania Department of Education-approved programs.

California University of Pennsylvania
Building Character. Building Careers.
www.cup.edu/go

A proud member of the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education.

CALU

Copyright of Educational Leadership is the property of Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.